PCT

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(54) Title: OPTIMIZING SERVER DELIVERY OF CONTENT BY SELECTIVE INCLUSION OF OPTIONAL DATA BASED ON OPTIMIZATION CRITERIA

(57) Abstract

Optimization constraints are used to select an appropriate content item from an available group of content items which are ordered in a specific manner to facilitate the proper selection of the content item, even if the exact item desired is not present. The server (102) is then able to insert the selected content item into the outgoing content page requested by the client (106). The optimization constraints can be, but are not limited to, any one of the following: communication channel performance (bandwidth), client operating system, client processor, client display capabilities, client installed software (video or audio codecs for example), and/or user preferences. A software product and method enable selective delivery of content to client devices of varying performance characteristics, including varying bandwidth, by selective filtering and inclusion of markup language content using tags demarcating optional content. The optional content may include a number of alternate items of content. An optimization constraint is used to select one of the items. The server (102) includes the selected item in an item of content requested by a client device (106). The optimization constraints are associated with varying levels of client/communication channel performance.

Optimizing Server Delivery of Content By Selective Inclusion of Optional Data Based on Optimization Criteria

5

BACKGROUND

Field of Invention

The present invention relates generally to systems, methods, and software products for delivering content in client-server networks, and more particularly, to systems, methods, 10 and software products that optimize the delivery of such content in response to optimization criteria.

Background of the Invention

The Internet generally, and the World Wide Web specifically, provide a client-server model of content delivery, in which content stored on a server at a web site is delivered over 15 a communications channel to a client device. Conventionally, the content as structured by the author is delivered by the server to all requesting clients in the same form. That is, the server does not change the structure of the content to accommodate the performance characteristics of the communication channel to a particular client, or the performance characteristics of the client itself. At best, the client re-formats the received content based on 20 its own capabilities (e.g. a text only browser will filter out images, but still must receive the images, thereby consuming bandwidth).

This delivery model is not satisfactory when there are many different types of clients, with varying performance capabilities and varying communication channels. For example, today a web site may be accessed by many different types of clients, from conventional full 25 size computers with large screen displays (e.g. 13" to 17") and full color capabilities (16M colors) to small handheld computers with small screens (e.g. 4" to 6") and limited color capabilities (256 colors), to cellular telephones with very small screens (1" -2") and virtually no color capabilities (2 - 8 colors monochrome). A web page with many images that is designed to be viewed on a full size, full color monitor will not appear at all properly on a 30 cellular telephone display with only monochrome output. Moreover, the cellular telephone has a much lower bandwidth capability than a standard desktop computer, and thus would require significantly more time (and hence more cost and delay) in loading such a web page.

Typically, in order to accommodate these varying capabilities, a server must maintain many different web sites or web pages, each designed to be served to a particular class of client. But this approach is very labor intensive, particularly for sites that must be updated frequently, since the many different sizes and content selections for a given page must be

5   kept in synchrony to all have the same current content. Further, display capabilities are only one characteristic that affects client performance. Different client devices may operate with different bandwidth capabilities, having different processor and memory capacities, or even have different pricing schemes associated with retrieval of content. When considering the possible combinations of factors that affect client performance, such as bandwidth and

10   display capabilities (to name just two), the number of different possible web sites that need to be maintained quickly becomes unmanageable.

An alternative solution to maintaining multiple web sites is to design web sites that are acceptable to only the lowest performance devices that are going to access the site. But this approach yields simple sites that do not fully allow higher performance devices to take

15   advantage of their performance features.

Accordingly, it is desirable to provide a system, method, and software product that can optimize delivery of content to client devices in response to optimization criteria. In particular, it is desirable to provide a web server that can efficiently deliver content to client devices having varying bandwidth, display, and other performance characteristics.

20   SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention overcomes the limitations of the conventional systems by providing a server software product and a method that selectively delivers content to a client in response to optimization constraints indicative of the client's performance characteristics. The server delivers content such as web pages, images, text, audio, video, and any other data

25   types to varying client devices with varying performance characteristics.

The present invention augments existing markup languages with a new tag that demarcates a group of one or more content items that may be optionally included in the content to be delivered. Where there are multiple optional items in a group, these items are alternatives for inclusion in the content, and one of the items is selected by the server for

30   inclusion in the content based on an optimization constraint dependent on the characteristics of the client device.

Preferably, the items are ordered in a manner corresponding to some set of client characteristics; one of these items is selected as the content to be delivered when the content

2

is requested. The characteristics may be performance characteristics of the client or communication channel, or other attributes of the client. For example, the ordering of optional content items may be with respect to highest performance to lowest performance devices, or vice versa. For example, in web page providing directions to a desired location, a

5 group of optional content may include first an image of a map illustrating the directions, followed by a detailed text description of the directions, followed by a very short text description of the directions. Thus, each of these items is intended for different types of client devices, which can best receive and display the directions in one of these formats, and one of these forms of information will be delivered to a requesting client.

10   When the server is processing a client request for the document, any content that is demarcated as being optional is included in the delivered content depending on the performance characteristics of client that is requesting the content. More particularly, the server processes the items of optional content in the order in which they are demarcated together. Thus, in an embodiment in which optional items are ordered from highest to

15 lowest performance capability, if the requesting client is a high performance device (including having a high bandwidth connection), then the first item of content is included in the document; if the requesting client is a low performance device, then the first item(s) is skipped until the appropriate item of content is reached. Obviously, the reverse of this ordering and selection process is possible. The selection of which item of content is

20 appropriate for which client device is based on an optimization constraint. The server stores data which associates different types of client devices or performance characteristics with different levels of the optimization constraint. The number of different types of client devices or performance characteristics is not limited. The server selects the appropriate optimization constraint based on observable characteristics of the client device requesting the

25 content. These observable characteristics include the device type, browser type, operating system, processor, memory, user preferences, software installed on the client, and language type for the requested content.

     A set of selection rules is used by the server to select which of the optional items of content are to be delivered to the client device based on the optimization constraint. More

30 particularly, the rules map any optimization constraint to one of the items of content. In a preferred embodiment, where the optional items are ordered with respect to their performance demands, the optimization constraint is used as an index into these items to select the appropriate one for the client. The process may be understood as one of selectively

reducing the data stream provided to a specific client based on encoding of optionally selectable/filterable data, and an optimization constraint value that controls the selection process.

The tag that demarcates optional content can obviously be any useful string. In one embodiment, the tag is usefully called the "OPT" tag. The OPT tag is formatted using standard SGML formats, with <OPT> indicating the beginning of the optional content, and terminated by </OPT> indicating the end of the optional content. Within the OPT tag there may be zero or more delimiting tags separating alternative items of optional content. The preferred delimiting tag for separating alternative items is <DOPT> for "Delimiting OPTion". A well formed OPT tag has the following form:

<OPT> content {<DOPT>alternative content}*</OPT>

Zero or more <DOPT> tags with their corresponding alternative content follow in an order, which may be from highest to lowest performance requirements, or vice versa. In the former ordering, this typically means from largest data size (e.g. length of text or use of images) to smallest data size.

Examples:

1. <OPT>Real Time Stock </OPT>Quotes

2. <OPT>Turn left<DOPT>Left<DOPT>L</OPT> on First Street

In the first example, the optional content "Real Time Stock" is delivered only to client devices that have no performance limitations, e.g. are not bandwidth limited, and so receive the complete text "Real Time Stock Quotes". A client device that has any optimization constraint only receives "Quotes" when this content is delivered. Thus, the unconstrained version is appropriate, for example, for a standard desktop computer, but the latter version is better supplied to a device with a very small screen or low bandwidth capabilities, such as a cellular telephone.

In the second example, of directions being given to a location, the alternative content selections become progressively smaller, being ordered left to right from least constrained (highest performance) to most constrained (lowest performance). Thus, the first item "Turn left" is delivered to a high performance client, while the last item "L" is suitable for client devices with lower bandwidth and/or smaller displays.

One preferred method of using the optimization constraint as an index into the optional items of content uses both positive and negative numbers as optimization constraints. Positive numbers index from least constrained items to most constrained items.

4

In example 2) above, an optimization constraint of (1) would select "Turn left" while an optimization constraint of (2) would select "Left". Negative numbers index from most constrained to least; thus (-1) would select "L", which (-2) would also select "Left". Preferably, positive one (1) always selects the least constrained item, and negative one (-1)

5   selects the most constrained item; zero (0) is treated as negative one (-1). It is preferable rule that a negative number may never select the least constrained most item, and a positive number may never select the most constrained item. With these rules, any number of optional items of content may encoded in the document and selectively delivered. Again, whether the ordering is left to right or right to left for high to low constraint is an

10   implementation detail; which ever is used, the selection logic is implemented to match.

Using the present invention, it is possible to build a single server which is capable of serving information to multiple clients, over varying bandwidth connections, or with other varying performance characteristics.

The amount of effort required to support a growing number of clients is reduced

15   from an order of O(2) [for a traditional system], or a square relationship, to an order of O(1), or a linear relationship.

This savings of effort required to maintain such a server brings the possibility of serving data to a wide range of client devices over a broad scale of bandwidth connections to a reality.

20   BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 is an illustration of a system in accordance with the present invention.

Fig. 2 is an illustration of a method of operating a server in accordance with the present invention.

Figs. 3a-3c illustrates an example of an encoded content item with optional content,

25   and different outputs of optional content according to varying levels of optimization constraint.

                        DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Referring to Fig. 1, there is shown an illustration of a system in accordance with the present invention. The system includes a server 102 and a content database 100. The server

30   102 of interest is the software product that executes on a conventional server grade computer, such as a workstation, minicomputer, mainframe, or the like. The server 102 is configured to execute in accordance with the functional features as described herein.

The content database 100 stores content in a suitable format, such as a markup language, ASCII, and the like. Suitable markup languages include HyperText Markup Language (HTML), Extended Markup Language (XML), Hypertext Dynamic Markup Language (HDML), Tagged Text Markup Language (TTML). The server 102 is then a server
5   of the appropriate type of markup language, and includes functions for receiving requests for a content item, typically indicated by a uniform resource locator (URL), and parsing markup language tags, creating a content item in response to a request, and transmitting the content item to the requesting client.

Some of the content in the database 100 is structured to include at least one item of
10   optional content demarcated by a specific markup language tag. The preferred tag is <OPT>, as described above, and its terminating tag </OPT>. Any number of alternate items of content may be enclosed, each delimited by a specific tag, such as <DOPT>. The items are preferably ordered with respect to some performance criteria, such as bandwidth capability. One ordering is from left to right, from lowest constraint, highest performance devices, to
15   highest constraint, lowest performance devices. Schematically, a portion of content structured in this manner is as follows:

<OPT>*lowest constraint data*<DOPT>*next lowest constraint*<DOPT>....<DOPT>*highest constraint data*</OPT>.

In one embodiment, these tags are part of meta-language that itself marks up other
20   markup languages. In this embodiment, the OPT and DOPT are set off by << and >>, to indicate to the server 102 that they are part of the meta-language, and not part of the underlying markup language. In this manner, any number of different markup language content items are stored in the database 100, and they are marked up in the meta-language. Various different client devices use various different ones of the markup languages. Client
25   device specific pages are thus constructed in the different markup languages. These client specific pages are marked up with the meta-language tags, and here particularly the OPT tag is used to specify optional content that can be merged or integrated into the client specific pages. In a preferred embodiment, the content within the OPT tags is variable data selected from the database 100 in response to a query; the server filters the query response using the
30   OPT mechanism described herein. The server 102 can thus deliver content in any of the markup languages, and with any of the optionally defined content to any requesting client; this allows different clients which use different markup languages to interface with the server 102.

The server 102 communicates with various types of client devices 106 over a communications network 104. The client devices 106 include desktop and laptop computers, pocket organizers, personal digital assistants, cellular telephones, and any other type of communication device adapted for Internet or Web based communications (i.e. HTTP). The

5   server 102 selectively provides optional content from a content item, depending on an optimization constraint associated with a requesting client device 106.

Referring to Fig. 2, there is shown a method in accordance with the present invention. The server 102 receives 200 a request for a content item from the database 100. The server 102 determines 202 an optimization constraint associated with the requesting client device. The

10   server 102 compiles 204 the requested content item, including selectively including content that is set forth as optional content, and if necessary, by selecting one of the alternate items of content, based on the optimization constraint. The server 102 then transmits 206 the compiled content item to the client. Determining the optimization constraint preferably includes determining either a client device type, client operating system type, client browser

15   type, content language type of the requested content, communication bandwidth of the client, client processor, memory, user preferences, or software installed on the client, or a combination of any of these or other attributes. Selecting one of the alternate items preferably includes applying a set of rules that map the optimization constraint to selected ones of the optional content items.

20   One set of rules uses the optimization constraint as an index, where the items are ordered in manner corresponding to performance capabilities of the client devices. This set of rules is described as follows:

1. Determine the number of optional items. If only one is available, add a blank option as the rightmost (most constrained) option.

25   2. Retrieve the optimization constraint which corresponds to the client device.

3. Positive numbers select from least constrained to most constrained. Negative numbers select from most constrained to least constrained.

4. The positive number one (1) selects the least constrained item.

5. The negative number one (-1) selects the most constrained item.

30   6. The number zero (0) is equivalent to negative one (-1)

7. Use the optimization constraint as an index into the optional items, where 1 selects the least constrained item, 2 the second least constrained item, and so on; -1 selects the most constrained item, -2 selects the second to most constrained item and so on.

8. A negative number may never select the least constrained item.

9. A positive number may never select the most constrained item.

The following table illustrates an example mapping where there are 5 items of alternate content, using a left to right, least to most constraint ordering:

Table 1

	1st Item (Least Constrained)	2nd Item	3rd Item	4th Item	5th Item (most constrained)
Opt. Constraint n which selects this item:	$n=1$	$n=2,$ $n<=-4$	$n=(3,-3)$	$n>=4,$ $n=-2$	$n=(-1,0)$

In one embodiment, the optimization constraint is defined with respect to the markup language of the content being served. The next table defines one set of optimization constraints for this embodiment:

Table 2

Language/Bandwith	Optimization Constraint
HTML (on T1 connection at 1.5Mb/s)	1
HTML (wired modem <=56Kb/s)	2
HTML (wireless <=9600 bps)	-3
HDML (<9600 bps)	-2
TTML	-1

The assignment of optimization constraints based on content language is a reflection of the typical bandwidth limitations of client devices that use the specific content language. HTML is generally used in a desktop environment where connection speeds are relatively high and display capacities are also relatively high, and thus a low constraint is appropriate, particularly for HTML on a T1 connection. HTML on a wireless device, such as a PDA is more constrained, and hence a higher constraint. HDML is generally used in a digital cellular telephone communications arena, with a transmission speed limited to 9600 bps and size of messages limited to the telephone memory, hence even more constrained environment, as reflected by the optimization constraint. TTML is generally used over GSM

style SMS messages which are limited to 160 characters, and thus is the most constrained environment, and thus given a -1 optimization constraint.

As the server 102 scans an item of content, it comes across an OPT encoded item of data. The server 102 can determine the optimization constraint based on the markup language as in Table 2, or using any other set of rules mapping information about the client device attributes to an optimization value. The determined value is then used as an index into the items of DOPT portions, to select the appropriate item of content. This process is repeated for each OPT encoded portion of the requested content, so that the server 102 ultimately compiles a complete content item. The completed content item is transmitted to the client device.

Figs. 3a-3c illustrates a more complex example of the present invention. Here, a content item 300 in Fig. 3a is encoded in a markup language, along with multiple portions set out as optional content between OPT tags, some of which have multiple alternate items delimited by DOPT tags. Fig. 3b illustrates the output of this content item by selection for a least constrained environment, HTML over T1. Note that all of the optional text in each OPT section is included. Fig. 3c illustrates output of this same content item by selection for the most constrained items for a TTML environment. As is apparent then, a single content item may be easily structured to serve multiple different client devices, without having to maintain many different versions of the content item, as in conventional systems.

9

Claims

1.   A method of optimizing the delivery of content data from a web server to a client device, said method comprising:

        receiving a request for content data from a client device;

5   selecting optional content of the content data responsive to performance characteristics of the requesting client device; and

        transmitting the selected optional content to the requesting client device.

2.   The method of claim 1 wherein selecting optional content further comprises:

        selecting one of a plurality of content items responsive to the performance

10   characteristics of the requesting client device.

3.   The method of claim 2 wherein the plurality of content items is ordered with respect to highest and lowest performance characteristics of client devices, and selecting comprises:

        responsive to a client device having a highest performance characteristic, selecting a first ordered content item.

15 4.   The method of claim 2 wherein the plurality of content items is ordered with respect to highest and lowest performance characteristics of client devices, and selecting further comprises:

        responsive to a client device having a highest performance characteristic, selecting a last ordered content item.

20 5.   The method of claim 3 wherein optimization constraints are assigned to classes of client devices, and each class of client device has different performance characteristics, further comprising:

        determining the performance characteristics of the requesting client device;

        determining a class of client device to which the requesting client device

25   belongs responsive to the determined performance characteristics of the requesting client device;

        assigning the requesting client device an optimization constraint responsive to the determined class of client device to which the requesting client device belongs; and

selecting comprises selecting a content item whose order corresponds to the
optimization constraint.

6. The method of claim 5 further comprising:

responsive to an optimization constraint specifying a class of device having a
lowest performance characteristic, selecting a content item requiring a
least amount of bandwidth to be transmitted.

7. The method of claim 5 further comprising:

responsive to an optimization constraint specifying a class of device having a
lowest performance characteristic, selecting a content item comprising
a least amount of data.

8. The method of claim 2 wherein optimization constraints are associated with each
content item, and the optimization constraints index classes of client devices, wherein each
class of client device has different performance characteristics, further comprising:

assigning the requesting client device an optimization constraint responsive to
the performance characteristics of the requesting client device; and
selecting comprises selecting a content item responsive to the assigned
optimization constraint.

9. The method of claim 8 wherein assigning an optimization constraint responsive to the
performance characteristics of the requesting client device further comprises:

determining a connection type in use by the client device; and
associating an optimization constraint responsive to the connection type of the
client device.

10. The method of claim 8 wherein assigning an optimization constraint responsive to the
performance characteristics of the requesting client device further comprises:

determining a web browser in use by the requesting client device; and
associating an optimization constraint further comprises:
associating an optimization constraint responsive to the web browser in use
by the requesting client device.

11. The method of claim 8 wherein assigning an optimization constraint responsive to the
performance characteristics of the requesting client device further comprises:

11

determining a processor type in use by the requesting client device; and

associating an optimization constraint further comprises:

associating an optimization constraint responsive to the processor type in use

by the requesting client device.

12. The method of claim 8 wherein assigning an optimization constraint responsive to the performance characteristics of the requesting client device further comprises:

determining an amount of memory in use by the requesting client device; and

associating an optimization constraint further comprises:

associating an optimization constraint responsive to the amount of memory in

use by the requesting client device.

13. The method of claim 8 wherein assigning an optimization constraint responsive to the performance characteristics of the requesting client device further comprises:

determining a display type in use by the requesting client device; and

associating an optimization constraint further comprises:

associating an optimization constraint responsive to the display type in use by

the requesting client device.

14. A system for transmitting content data over a network, comprising:

a content server, for receiving a request for content from a client device,

selecting optional content of the content data responsive to

performance characteristics of the client device, and transmitting the

selected optional content to the requesting client device.

15. The system of claim 14 further comprising a plurality of client devices, for transmitting requests for content to the content server and receiving content transmitted from the content server, at least one client device having different performance characteristics than at least one other client device.

16. The system of claim 14 wherein optimization constraints index classes of client devices based upon performance characteristics and the optional content within a context data is indexed by the optimization constraints, and the content server selects optional content from the context data responsive to assigning an optimization constraint to a requesting client device.

12

17. A method of creating an electronic document forming a collection of content data to permit selective transmission of content data, comprising:

> creating content data representing alternate versions of content;
>
> inserting the content data into the content; and
>
> 5 demarcating the content data to indicate to a processor that the content data are selectable.

18. The method of claim 17 wherein the content items have different data sizes, and inserting the content items further comprises:

> ordering the content items with respect to an amount of bandwidth required
>
> 10 to transmit the content items.

19. The method of claim 17 in a system in which client devices receive the electronic documents for display, and the client devices have different performance characteristics and inserting the content items further comprises:

> ordering the content items with respect to performance characteristics of
>
> 15 client devices.

20. A method of delivering a web page comprising:

> receiving a request for transmission of the web page from a remote device;
>
> determining at least one performance characteristic of the remote device;
>
> selecting optional content of the web page responsive to the determined at
>
> 20 least one performance characteristic; and
>
> transmitting the selected optional content to the remote device.

21. The method of claim 20 wherein selecting optional content further comprises:

> selecting one of a plurality of content items responsive to the performance characteristics of the requesting client device.

25 22. The method of claim 21 wherein the plurality of content items is ordered with respect to highest and lowest performance characteristics of client devices, and selecting comprises:

> responsive to a client device having a highest performance characteristic, selecting a first ordered content item.

23.   The method of claim 21 wherein the plurality of content items is ordered with respect to highest and lowest performance characteristics of client devices, and selecting further comprises:

        responsive to a client device having a highest performance characteristic,

5   selecting a last ordered content item.

24.   The method of claim 22 wherein optimization constraints are assigned to classes of client devices, and each class of client device has different performance characteristics, further comprising:

        determining the performance characteristics of the requesting client device;

10   determining a class of client device to which the requesting client device

            belongs responsive to the determined performance characteristics of

            the requesting client device;

        assigning the requesting client device an optimization constraint responsive to

            the determined class of client device to which the requesting client

15   device belongs; and

        selecting comprises selecting a content item whose order corresponds to the

            optimization constraint.

25.   The method of claim 24 further comprising:

        responsive to an optimization constraint specifying a class of device having a

20   lowest performance characteristic, selecting a content item requiring a

            least amount of bandwidth to be transmitted.

26.   The method of claim 24 further comprising:

        responsive to an optimization constraint specifying a class of device having a

            lowest performance characteristic, selecting a content item comprising

25   a least amount of data.

27.   The method of claim 21 wherein optimization constraints are associated with each content item, and the optimization constraints index classes of client devices, wherein each class of client device has different performance characteristics, further comprising:

        assigning the requesting client device an optimization constraint responsive to

30   the performance characteristics of the requesting client device; and

selecting comprises selecting a content item responsive to the assigned optimization constraint.

28.   The method of claim 27 wherein assigning an optimization constraint responsive to the performance characteristics of the requesting client device further comprises:

determining a connection type in use by the client device; and

associating an optimization constraint responsive to the connection type of the client device.

29.   The method of claim 27 wherein assigning an optimization constraint responsive to the performance characteristics of the requesting client device further comprises:

determining a web browser in use by the requesting client device; and

associating an optimization constraint further comprises:

associating an optimization constraint responsive to the web browser in use by the requesting client device.

30.   A computer-readable medium for use in a system having a web server for storing content data, and which is connected to a plurality of client devices, the computer-readable medium storing instructions which cause the server to:

receive a request for content data from a client device;

select optional content of the content data responsive to performance characteristics of the requesting client device; and

transmit the selected optional content to the client device.

31.   The computer-readable medium of claim 30 wherein the stored instructions further cause the processor to:

select one of a plurality of content items responsive to the performance characteristics of the requesting client device.

32.   The computer-readable medium of claim 31 wherein the plurality of content items is ordered with respect to performance characteristics of client devices, and the stored instructions further cause the processor to:

responsive to a client device having a highest performance characteristic, select a first ordered content item.

33. The computer-readable medium of claim 31 wherein optimization constraints are assigned to classes of client devices, and each class of client device has different performance characteristics, and the stored instructions further cause the processor to:

determine the performance characteristics of the requesting client device;

determine a class of client device to which the requesting client device belong responsive to the performance characteristics of the requesting client device;

assign the requesting client device an optimization constraint responsive to the determined class of client device to which the requesting client device belongs; and

select a content item corresponding to the optimization constraint.

34. The computer-readable medium of claim 32 wherein optimization constraints are associated with each content item, and the optimization constraints index classes of client devices, wherein each class of client device has different performance characteristics, and the stored instructions further cause the processor to:

assign the requesting client device an optimization constraint responsive to the performance characteristics of the requesting client device; and

select a content item responsive to the assigned optimization constraint.

35. The computer-readable medium of claim 34 wherein the stored instructions further cause the processor to:

determine a connection type in use by the client device; and

associate an optimization constraint responsive to the connection type of the client device.

36. A method of optimizing the delivery of content data from a web server to a client device, wherein the content data is comprised of content items, optimization constraints are associated with each content item, the optimization constraints index classes of client devices, and wherein each class of client device has different performance characteristics, the method comprising:

receiving a request for content data from the client device;

16

determining a class of device to which the requesting client device belongs

responsive to the performance characteristics of the requesting client

device;

assigning the requesting client device an optimization constraint responsive to

the determined class of client device;

selecting one of a plurality of content items responsive to the assigned

optimization constraint; and

transmitting the selected optional content to the client device.

37.   In a system in which a content server provides content to client devices, an optional

content indicating system for demarcating optional content for transmission, comprising:

a <OPT> tag, placed before a content item to indicate a beginning of optional

content;

a <DOPT> tag, placed before a content item which is an alternative for

transmission to the content item demarcated by the<OPT> tag; and

a </OPT> tag, placed after a content item, to indicate an end of the optional

content.

FIG. 1

200
RECEIVE REQUEST
FOR CONTENT ITEM
FROM CLIENT

202
DETERMINE
OPTIMIZATION
CONSTRAINT FOR
CLIENT/REQUESTED
CONTENT ITEM

204
COMPILE
REQUESTED
CONTENT ITEM, AND
SELECT OPTIONAL
CONTENT
ACCORDING TO OPT.
CONSTRAINT

206
TRANSMIT
COMPILED CONTENT
ITEM TO
REQUESTING
CLIENT

FIG. 2

3/3

r 300

Directions<OPT> to our offices<OPT>:
<OPT>CONTINUE<DOPT>C</OPT><OPT> onto</OPT> HARRISON ST<OPT>
--></OPT> <OPT>Go </OPT><OPT>a short distance<DOPT>short
distance</OPT><OPT> and then</OPT>
<OPT>TURN
RIGHT<DOPT>R</OPT><OPT> onto</OPT> 2ND ST<OPT> --></OPT>   ·
<OPT>Go
</OPT>0.1 <OPT>miles<DOPT>m</OPT><OPT> and
then</OPT>
<OPT>TURN LEFT<DOPT>L</OPT><OPT> onto</OPT>
WEBSTER
ST
<OPT>CONTINUE<DOPT>C</OPT> <OPT>a short
distance<DOPT>short
distance</OPT>

<OPT>Total distance is<DOPT>Total:</OPT> 0.2
<OPT>miles<DOPT>m</OPT><OPT>
Estimated driving
time<DOPT>,</OPT> 0.9 <OPT>minutes<DOPT>min</OPT>

FIG. 3a

Compiled For Low
Constraint/High
Performance Device
(e.g HTML)

Compiled For High
Constraint/Low
Performance Device
(e.g TTML)

Directions to our offices:
CONTINUE onto HARRISON ST --> Go a short distance and then
TURN RIGHT onto 2ND ST --> Go 0.1 miles and then
TURN LEFT onto WEBSTER ST
CONTINUE a short distance

Total distance is 0.2 miles
Estimated driving time 0.9 minutes

FIG. 3b

Directions
C HARRISON ST short distance
R 2ND ST 0.1 m
L WEBSTER ST
C short distance

Total: 0.2 m. 0.9 min

FIG. 3c

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7   H04L29/06   G06F9/44   G06F17/30   G06F3/033

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7   H04L   G06F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	"INTELLIGENT USER INTERFACE PROMPT LEVEL" IBM TECHNICAL DISCLOSURE BULLETIN,US,IBM CORP. NEW YORK, vol. 35, no. 1A, page 25-26 XP000308751 ISSN: 0018-8689	14-16
A	page 25, line 31 -page 26, line 12	1,17,20, 30,36,37

	-/--	

[X] Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.   [X] Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
2 February 2000	09/02/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl. Fax (+31–70) 340–3016	Dupuis, H

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1992)

2

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication,where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	VITALI F ET AL: "Extending HTML in a principled way with displets" COMPUTER NETWORKS AND ISDN SYSTEMS,NL,NORTH HOLLAND PUBLISHING. AMSTERDAM, vol. 29, no. 8-13, 1 September 1997 (1997-09-01), pages 1115-1128, XP004095309 ISSN: 0169-7552 paragraph '0002! paragraph '0004! - paragraph '04.1! paragraph '0007!	37
A	UP SDK DOCUMENTATION: "HDML 2.0 Language Reference Version 2.0" NONE, XP002114153 USA column 19, line 23	1,14,17, 20,30, 36,37
A	US 5 706 434 A (KUNZELMAN KEVIN SCOTT ET AL) 6 January 1998 (1998-01-06) column 6, line 65 -column 8, line 11	1,14,17, 20,30, 36,37
A	ATKINS D L ET AL: "INTEGRATED WEB AND TELEPHONE SERVICE CREATION" BELL LABS TECHNICAL JOURNAL,US,BELL LABORATORIES, vol. 2, no. 1, page 19-35 XP002036350 ISSN: 1089-7089 page 19, right-hand column, line 12 -page 21, right-hand column, line 23 page 29, left-hand column, line 6 -page 30, left-hand column, line 2 page 32, left-hand column, line 36-44 page 33, left-hand column, line 3-15	1,14,17, 20,30, 36,37
A	US 5 179 700 A (AIHARA TORU ET AL) 12 January 1993 (1993-01-12) abstract column 2, line 35-54 column 10, line 57-67	14-16

2

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 5706434	A	06-01-1998	NONE		
US 5179700	A	12-01-1993	JP	2039766 C	28-03-1996
			JP	3057058 A	12-03-1991
			JP	7078782 B	23-08-1995
			CA	2017301 A,C	19-01-1991
			EP	0409588 A	23-01-1991